



Council on Energy,
Environment and Water

August 2015 | New Delhi, India

CEEW Policy Brief

Solar Pumps for Sustainable Irrigation

A Budget Neutral
Opportunity

SHALU AGRAWAL AND ABHISHEK JAIN



ceew.in/publications

Thapar House
124, Janpath
New Delhi 110001
India

Tel: +91 11 40733300

info@ceew.in





Solar Pumps for Sustainable Irrigation

A Budget-Neutral Opportunity

SHALU AGRAWAL AND ABHISHEK JAIN

CEEW Policy Brief

August 2015

ceew.in

Copyright © 2015 Council on Energy, Environment and Water (CEEW)

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior permission.

A policy brief on 'Solar Pumps for Sustainable Irrigation: A Budget-Neutral Opportunity'.

Disclaimer: The views expressed in this policy brief are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views and policies of CEEW.

Editor: Dr Arunabha Ghosh

Photo credit: IWMI, Prashanth Vishwanathan

The Council on Energy, Environment and Water (<http://ceew.in/>) is an independent, not-for-profit policy research institution. CEEW addresses pressing global challenges through an integrated and internationally focused approach. It does so through high quality research, partnerships with public and private institutions, and engagement with and outreach to the wider public. CEEW has been ranked best in India (and South Asia) in several categories two years running in the Global Go To Think Tank Index. CEEW has also been rated as India's top climate change think-tank in 2012 and 2013 as per the ICCG Climate Think Tank's standardised rankings.

Council on Energy, Environment and Water

Thapar House, 124, Janpath, New Delhi 110001, India

About CEEW

The Council on Energy, Environment and Water (<http://ceew.in>) is an independent, not-for-profit policy research institution. CEEW addresses pressing global challenges through an integrated and internationally focused approach. It does so through high quality research, partnerships with public and private institutions, and engagement with and outreach to the wider public.

The Global Go To Think Tank Index has ranked CEEW as

1. 1st in India among ‘Top Think Tanks with Annual Operating Budgets of Less Than \$ 5 Million USD’ (2013, 2014 also first in South Asia; 14th globally)
2. 1st in India for ‘Best Institutional Collaboration’ involving two or more think tanks (2013, 2014 also first in South Asia)
3. 1st in India for ‘Best Policy Study/Report’ for its study on India’s National Water Resources Framework (2013)

CEEW has also been rated as India’s top climate change think-tank in 2012 and 2013 as per the ICCG Climate Think Tank’s standardised rankings.

In five years of operations, CEEW has engaged in more than 100 research projects, published 50 peer-reviewed policy reports and papers, advised governments around the world over 120 times, engaged with industry to encourage investments in clean technologies and improve efficiency in resource use, promoted bilateral and multilateral initiatives between governments on more than 40 occasions, helped state governments with water and irrigation reforms, and organised more than 100 seminars and conferences.

CEEW’s major completed projects: 584-page National Water Resources Framework Study for India’s 12th Five Year Plan; India’s first report on global governance, submitted to the National Security Adviser; foreign policy implications for resource security; India’s power sector reforms; first independent assessment of India’s solar mission; India’s green industrial policy; resource nexus, and strategic industries and technologies for India’s National Security Advisory Board; \$125 million India-U.S. Joint Clean Energy R&D Centers; business case for phasing down HFCs; geoengineering governance (with UK’s Royal Society and the IPCC); decentralised energy in India; energy storage technologies; Maharashtra-Guangdong partnership on sustainability; clean energy subsidies (for the Rio+20 Summit); reports on climate finance; financial instruments for energy access for the World Bank; irrigation reform for Bihar; multi-stakeholder initiative for urban water management; Swachh Bharat; environmental clearances; modelling HFC emissions; nuclear power and low-carbon pathways; and electric rail transport.

CEEW’s current projects include: the Clean Energy Access Network (CLEAN) of hundreds of decentralised clean energy firms; the Indian Alliance on Health and Pollution; low-carbon rural development; modelling long-term energy scenarios; modelling energy-water nexus; coal power technology upgradation; India’s 2030 renewable energy roadmap; energy access surveys; energy subsidies reform; supporting India’s National Water Mission; collective action for water security; business case for energy efficiency and emissions reductions; assessing climate risk; advising in the run up to climate negotiations (COP-21) in Paris.

About the Authors

SHALU AGRAWAL

Shalu Agrawal is a Programme Officer at the Council on Energy Environment and Water, India. Her research interests include Solar Energy Policy, Finance and Applications, Energy Access, Water-Energy Nexus, and Integrated Energy Modelling. Shalu holds a B.Tech Degree in Electrical Engineering from Indian Institute of Technology (IIT) Roorkee.

As a researcher at CEEW, Shalu has worked on a diverse range of research projects in collaboration with international associations with a focus on energy access and sustainability. These include efficacy analysis of LPG subsidy policy in India, impact assessment of DBTL scheme, roadmap to realise 100 GW of solar and re-energizing India's solar energy market through financing. She has been working with the modelling team at CEEW to explore cost effective solutions for meeting India's energy demand by 2050.

Prior to joining CEEW, Shalu completed a course on 'policies, politics and practices of environmental management in developing world' at the Centre for Science and Environment (CSE), when she also served as the editor of the participants' environment magazine.

Shalu also had short term project stints with organisations such as Schlumberger Asia Services Ltd. (Wireline segment) and Control & Switchgears Ltd (low voltage protection elements, assembly line).

ABHISHEK JAIN

Abhishek is a Junior Research Associate at Council on Energy, Environment & Water. His current research focus is on energy access, fossil fuel subsidies, integrated energy planning, circular economy and sustainable development. He holds an MPhil in Sustainable Development from University of Cambridge and an engineering degree from Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee. Abhishek is a recipient of Chevening Scholarship from British government, and is an honorary scholar of Cambridge Commonwealth Trust.

In last four years, Abhishek has worked on various issues around energy, environment and sustainable development. He has researched and published on various areas including energy access, clean cooking energy, forecasting of electrification access scenarios in India, rationalisation of LPG subsidies, electricity sector reforms for India, challenges to sustainable transportation in New Delhi, to name a few. Apart from this, Abhishek has completed multiple research and short term project stints with various organizations in India, Germany and the UK. He frequently presents at various national and international forums. In his previous avatar, Abhishek has worked as an energy and environmental engineer with Nestle India.

Contents

Executive Summary	1
1. Introduction	3
2. Budget-Neutral Opportunity: Incentivise Solar Pumps in Lieu of Awarding New Agriculture Connections	4
3. Economic Rationality for the Farmers: Solar vs Electric Pumps	7
4. Concluding Remarks	9
References	10
Annexures	11





Executive Summary

Rising demand for food, stressed water resources and poor water use efficiency in agriculture coupled with fiscally unsustainable subsidies, call for a need to rethink the approaches adopted to facilitate irrigation services in India. It has become increasingly challenging to facilitate affordable irrigation service through conventional irrigation technologies, from both fiscal and environmental perspectives.

With this backdrop, solar pumps are increasingly been seen as an alternative irrigation technology and are being promoted through the provision of high upfront subsidy on their capital costs. However, the current incentive strategy would not be amenable to scale up due to fiscal constraints. This study asks: Are there budget-neutral opportunities to incentivise solar pumps, such that they can be scaled up without challenging the fiscal limits?

KEY FINDINGS OF THE STUDY

In order to facilitate affordable irrigation through ground water sources, state governments bear significant expenses as subsidy on both initial connection costs and recurring power consumption during pumping. State governments typically incur ~INR 2,27,000 (USD 3,783) on every new agriculture connection (average 5 horsepower size), over a 15 year period (NPV basis). This subsidy could range from INR 3,13,000 (USD 5,217) to INR 1,50,000 (USD 2,500), across the states, depending upon power tariffs and collection efficiencies for agriculture sector.

Scaling up the deployment of solar pumps through incentives such as capital subsidy would need high fiscal expenditure. In order to increase the penetration of solar pumps from the current 0.1% to 1% (of total pump sets in the country), 0.3 million units would need to be deployed, requiring subsidies of the order of INR 7,500 crore (USD 1.25 billion).

Can the government promote solar pumps without incurring additional fiscal expenditure? The state governments could incentivise solar pumps in lieu of awarding new agriculture connections, to the extent of the expenses, which it will likely incur on the latter. Such a budget-neutral approach would enable them to subsidise capital cost of solar pumps by up to 63%, 45% and 23% in states with high, moderate and low levels of agriculture power subsidy, respectively

How does the choice between a solar pump and an electric pump play out for the farmer? In the current scenario of high subsidies on power for agriculture, despite a subsidy on solar pumps, farmers might still find electric pumps more economic than using solar pumps. However, high waiting time for new connections, poor quality of agricultural power supply and the costs associated with both could tilt the balance in favour of solar pumps.



1 Introduction

Provision of energy for irrigation at affordable prices, is one of the biggest challenges facing the country today. Only around 45% of net sown area in India is under irrigation cover (Department of Agriculture and Cooperation, 2014). Historically, food-grains production has shown a linear increment with the net irrigated area (NIA) (Mcneil & Sathaye, 2009). A simple projection of the past trends indicate that around 104 million ha of NIA would be required to meet the food-grains requirement of around 460 million tonnes by 2050, implying a net irrigation cover of ~ 74% of net sown area. However, NIA saw an increase of only 1.4% between 2006 and 2011 (IASRI, 2014). Thus, even as attempts are made to increase water use efficiency and ‘crop per drop’, there is also a need to expand the irrigation cover in the country at a significantly higher rate. Consequently, the provision of energy for irrigation at affordable prices becomes the necessity.

As of March 2015, India had around 19 million agriculture connections for irrigation through electric pumps (CEA, 2014), which reportedly consumed 166 billion units of power (~22% of total sales) in 2013-14 (Planning Commission, 2014). On the one hand, power for agriculture connections is heavily subsidised, leading to poor returns for utilities and wasteful consumption; on the other hand, even the existing connections face the challenge of unreliable and limited supply. Adding to the problem, lakhs of farmers are in waiting across the country to get their agricultural electricity connection (Iyer, 2013). Due to the unavailability of electricity or connection, a sizeable number of farmers rely on diesel pumps, which are considerably expensive to run. Diesel is the major source of energy for irrigation in 12 Indian states, 11 of which are in eastern and north eastern India.¹ In addition to the 19 million electric pump sets, there are almost 9 million diesel irrigation pump sets in the country (Raghavan et al., 2010).

Against this background, solar pumps have emerged as an attractive alternative technology for irrigation. They can serve as a cost effective mode of irrigation with low maintenance requirements, and help address the farmers’ concerns associated with erratic power supply and high recurring expenditure on diesel. Recognising the opportunity of promoting irrigation across the country and simultaneously reducing power subsidy, improving grid stability and reaping environmental benefits, both central and state governments have started promoting solar pumps in the recent years.

The central government has set the target of incentivising 100,000 solar pumps (MNRE, 2014), while the state governments of Maharashtra, Gujarat etc. are pursuing individual targets of facilitating adoption of solar pumps (Kulkarni, 2015). The incentives are in the form of capital subsidy ranging from 30% to 86% (from both central and state government) of the upfront cost and long terms loans (for 10 year period) by the National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD).

As of August 2015, India has ~35,000 solar pumps, against a total of ~28 million irrigation pump sets. In order to increase the penetration of solar pumps from the current 0.1% to 1%, 0.3 million units would need to be deployed. Incentivising these pumps through capital subsidy mechanism (say 50% of the upfront costs) would require a fiscal expenditure of the order of INR 7,500 crore (USD 1.25 billion). Given the limited fiscal resources, such levels of expenditure would make it difficult to scale up the deployment of solar pumps.

This study asks: Are there budget-neutral opportunities to incentivise solar pumps, such that they can be scaled up without facing fiscal constraints?

¹ CEEW analysis based on data from Minor Irrigation Census, 2006

2 Budget-Neutral Opportunity

Incentivise Solar Pumps in Lieu of Awarding new Agriculture Connections

State governments heavily subsidise power consumption in agriculture for irrigation purposes. At pan India level, INR 66,324 crore (USD 11.05 billion) was spent as subsidy on agricultural electricity sales in 2013-14, an 8% increase over the previous fiscal year (Planning Commission, 2014).

Besides the subsidy on power consumption, state governments also subsidise the capital costs incurred in providing new agriculture connections. These costs vary significantly with the type of feeder line (high tension or low tension), the distance from the nearest substation and the need for a new substation or a transformer. Governments typically incur a cost of INR 70,000 to INR 150,000 (USD 1166 to USD 2500) for every agriculture connection, while only a small connection fee of ~INR 12,000 (USD 200) is charged from the farmers (Gopal B Kateshiya, 2014; Iyer, 2013).

Overall, governments bear significant expenses to facilitate irrigation through electric pumps, which can be alternatively used to subsidise solar pumps. In order to assess the feasibility of such an approach, we conducted a discounted cash flow analysis of the expenses likely to be incurred by state governments in installing and servicing an agriculture connection.

The analysis was conducted for a representative pump size of 5 HP (Saini, 2011) for a 15 year period. It was assumed that solar pumps would have an effective life of 15 years under field conditions.³ Initial expenditure on new agriculture connection and the corresponding connection fees were assumed to be INR 100,000 and INR 12,000, respectively. The cost of power supply was assumed to be INR 6/kWh, rising at a CAGR of 5%.⁴ The average tariff for agriculture was assumed as INR 2 /kWh, rising at a CAGR of 8%.⁵ It was assumed that a pump would run for 1000 hours/annum on average (5 hours*200 days).

As per the analysis, state governments would typically incur ~INR 227,000 or USD 3,783 on every new agriculture connection (Net Present Value of expenses over 15 years). This amount, if diverted towards a solar pump of equivalent rating i.e. 5 HP, could subsidise the capital cost of the pump (~INR 500,000 or USD 8,333) by up to 45%.

In the states where the agriculture tariff is even lower or the connection cost is higher, subsidising a solar pump would make a stronger economic case. For instance, the states such as Andhra Pradesh, Punjab and Haryana, where the average tariff for agriculture is negligible, say ~INR 0.30 /kWh and likely to rise at a CAGR of 4%⁶, the state government expenses on an agriculture connection could be

2 This study focuses on comparative analysis of solar pumps against electrical connections only, as (i) the economic benefits of shifting from diesel pumps to solar pumps are already well established in the literature; (ii) after the removal of subsidy from diesel in Oct, 2014, there is no fiscal expenditure on that account which could be diverted to promote solar pumps

3 Based on CEEW interviews with technical experts at the SELCO foundation

4 For Indian states, the unit cost of supply in 2013-14 was INR 5.9 /kWh (median value) and it grew at a CAGR of 5.7% (median) over 2009-10 and 2013-14 (Planning Commission, 2014).

5 For Indian states, the average tariff for agriculture in 2013-14 was INR 1.8 /kWh (median) and it grew at a CAGR of 7.3% (median) over 2009-10 and 2013-14 (Planning Commission, 2014).

6 In six Indian states (Andhra Pradesh, Haryana, Himachal, Jharkhand, Punjab and Tamil Nadu), average agriculture tariff in 2013-14 was INR 0.27 /kWh, which has been growing at an average CAGR of 3.4% in between 2009-10 and 2013-14 (Planning Commission, 2014).

Table 1: Expenses incurred by state governments on an agriculture connection and extent of budget-neutral subsidy for solar pumps

Category of states	Assumptions for tariff and connection cost	NPV of expenses incurred over 15 year period	Extent of budget-neutral subsidy for solar pumps
Category 1 (high subsidy on power)	Connection cost (INR 100,000), agriculture tariff of INR 0.30/kWh (CAGR 4%), power supply cost of INR 6/kWh (CAGR 5%)	INR 313,000 (USD 5,217)	63%
Category 2 (moderate subsidy on power)	Connection cost (INR 100,000), agriculture tariff of INR 2/kWh (CAGR 8%), power supply cost of INR 6/kWh (CAGR 5%)	INR 227,000 (USD 3,783)	45%
Category 3 (low subsidy on power)	Connection cost (INR 100,000), agriculture tariff of INR 4/kWh (CAGR 10%), power supply cost of INR 6/kWh (CAGR 5%)	INR 115,000 (USD 1,917)	23%

Source: CEEW Analysis

as high as ~INR 313,000 (USD 5,217). Such amount could be sufficient to subsidise the capital cost of solar pumps by up to 63% (refer to category 1 in Table 1).

On the other hand, states with high average tariff for agriculture, say INR 4/kWh⁷ (as shown in Category 3 in Table 1) would incur less expenses on an agriculture connection and thus would be able to provide only 23% capital subsidy for solar pumps, in a budget-neutral manner.

For the purpose of this study, only representative cases have been analysed. However, the agriculture tariffs and their growth trends vary widely across the states and so does the cost of the initial connection for electric pumps. For instance, Table 2 illustrates the broad categories, which each Indian state would fall into.

Further Annexure 1 provides details on the likely trajectories of cost of power supply and average agriculture tariff in category 1 states, as per the current trends (aggregate over 2009-2014). It also projects annual power subsidy burden against every agriculture connection in the state, for a repre-

Table 2: Categorisation of Indian states by levels of subsidy to agriculture power

Category of states	Name of the states
Category 1 (high subsidy on power)	Andhra Pradesh, Haryana, Himachal, Jharkhand, Punjab, Tamil Nadu (North eastern states: Arunachal Pradesh, Mizoram, Nagaland, Sikkim)
Category 2 (moderate subsidy on power)	Chhattisgarh, Delhi, Gujarat, Goa, Jammu & Kashmir, Karnataka, Kerala, Maharashtra, Orissa, Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh, Uttarakhand (North eastern states: Manipur, Meghalaya)
Category 3 (low subsidy on power)	Assam, Bihar, Madhya Pradesh and West Bengal (North eastern states: Tripura)

⁷ Assam, Bihar, Madhya Pradesh and West Bengal have average agriculture tariff of ~ INR 4/kWh and they have shown a high CAGR of ~20% in past five years (2009-10 to 2013-14) (Planning Commission, 2014).

sentative pump of 5HP size, running for 1000 hours every year. Annexure 2 and Annexure 3 provide similar details for category 2 and category 3 states.

Each state government would have to conduct an exercise, to estimate the expenses it is likely to incur on an agriculture connection, over the expected life of solar pumps, keeping in mind the likely trajectory of agriculture tariffs, using the methodology employed in this study. The amount, thus arrived at, could be used for incentivising solar pumps, which would be a budget-neutral expenditure over a 15 year timeframe.

The main purpose of the study was to estimate the resources available to state for incentivising solar pumps, in lieu of awarding new agricultural connections. Capital subsidy is one of the most commonly used incentives, and is used here as a means to illustrate the magnitude of support that can be redirected towards deployment of solar pumps. However, other means of incentivising solar pumps can be explored as well, for instance, a combination of capital subsidy and low interest long term loans, which might better suit the government as well as the farmers' needs.

3 Economic Rationality for the Farmers

Solar vs Electric Pumps

Budget-neutrality could be a sound policy objective, but how does the choice between a solar pump and an electric pump plays out for the farmer? In order to answer this question, we conducted a comparative analysis of the cash flows for both choices. The cash flow analysis was conducted for a representative pump size of 5 HP for a 15 year period for all three categories of states, discussed in section 2. Further, we complement the analysis by also looking at opportunity cost associated with the choice of electric pumps.

Farmers using electric pumps incur expenses on purchase, installation and/or replacement of the pump, power bills (though subsidised), maintenance and repairs. We assumed an initial cost of electric pump at INR 30,000 (Saini, 2011), pump life of 7 years, annual maintenance costs at 7% of the capital costs and rising at 5.72% per annum (on account of inflation). Farmers using solar pumps would incur the capital cost (net of subsidy) as upfront investment or loan payments, maintenance expenses and pump repair/replacement costs. For these, we assumed a pump life of 7 years, maintenance costs at INR 1000/year⁸ and rising at the CAGR of 5.72% (accounting for inflation).

Table 3 below highlights the NPV of the total expenses to be borne by farmers' using electric and solar pumps across the three categories of states, as identified in the previous section.

Category of states		Expenses incurred	
By type of subsidy on power	Budget-neutral capital subsidy on solar pumps	Farmer using electric pump	Farmer using solar pump (with subsidy)
Category 1 (high levels of subsidy)	63%	INR 92,400 (USD 1,540)	INR 218,000 (USD 3,633)
Category 2 (moderate levels of subsidy)	45%	INR 178,000 (USD 2,967)	INR 304,000 (USD 5,067)
Category 3 (low levels of subsidy)	23%	INR 291,000 (USD 4,850)	INR 416,000 (USD 6,933)

Source: CEEW Analysis

In all three categories of states, despite getting a capital subsidy, to the extent of maximum possible in a budget-neutral manner, a farmer using a solar pump would be spending ~INR 125,700 (USD

⁸ A conservative estimate, as maintenance costs are often cited to be negligible. One such study uses a maintenance cost of INR 500/annum (Pullenkav, 2013).

2,095) more than the farmer using an electric pump. This is equivalent to 25% of the capital cost of the solar pumps.

Considering only these cash flow numbers, it appears that in the current power tariff regime, there would be inequity between farmers using the solar and electric pumps, despite the solar pumps receiving capital subsidy, as proposed above. However, *in reality, farmers' preference for solar or electric pumps would also be affected by aspects such as waiting time for new connections, reliability and quality of power supply as well as cost of repair and maintenance.*

The waiting-time for new agriculture connections runs into years across many states, which imposes a cost on farmers in the form of loss of crop productivity, the cost of using diesel pump set or the cost of obtaining tatkhal (immediate) agriculture connections with full initial connection cost. To quantify the cost of waiting time, a delay of two years in getting an electric connection would have an opportunity cost of INR 100,000-150,000⁹. Thus, in states with high waiting time for new connections, solar pumps might be economically more attractive to the farmers as compared to tatkhal connections or using diesel pumps. Moreover, the farmers using solar pumps would benefit through enhanced yields, due to assured supply of water.

Similarly, the costs associated with unreliable and poor quality of agricultural electricity supply could also tilt the balance in favour of solar pumps. Agriculture power supply is often erratic and of poor quality across most states. This results in frequent damages to the pumps and increases the cost of repair and maintenance for the farmers. A field study in Karnataka and Tamil Nadu revealed that farmers incur average repair cost of INR 6,000 per year, due to heavy voltage fluctuations as well as poor quality pump sets (Kannan, 2013).

Such costs associated with the choice of electric pumps as against the benefits of assured power supply from solar pumps, make an economic case for using solar pumps for irrigation.

⁹ Assuming that in absence of electricity connection, similar levels of irrigation service is achieved either by getting a tatkhal electricity connection or by using a diesel pump.

4 Concluding Remarks

This study puts forward a budget-neutral approach for incentivising the adoption of solar pumps in India. The study also looks at parity between solar and electric pumps from economic perspective, i.e. NPV (net present value) of the expenses to be incurred by the farmer on either option. It further discusses that the farmers' preference for solar or electric pumps would also be affected by aspects such as waiting time for new connections, reliability and quality of power supply, and availability of repair and maintenance services..

Even as the study proposes mechanisms for promoting the deployment of solar pumps, it is also necessary to assess the suitability of solar pumps in providing sustainable irrigation, before they are scaled up. Exploring the context under which solar pumps would be technically, economically, operationally as well as environmentally sustainable would help different stakeholders identify and prioritise potential areas for deploying solar pumps through effective promotional policies and strategies.

References

- CEA. (2014). Power Sector: Executive Summary for the month of February. Retrieved from www.cea.nic.in/reports/monthly/executive_rep/feb14.pdf
- Central Electricity Authority. (2014). Tariff and Duty of electricity supply in India.
- Department of Agriculture and Cooperation. (2014). Annual Report 2013-2014. Retrieved from <http://www.agriculture.gov.au/about/annualreport/2013-14>
- Gopal B Kateshiya. (2014). 5,000 farmers in Saurashtra, Kutch to get power connections this year |The Indian Express. Retrieved from <http://indianexpress.com/article/cities/ahmedabad/5000-farmers-in-saurashtra-kutch-to-get-power-connections-this-year/>
- IASRI. (2014). Agriculture Research Data Book. Retrieved from iasri.res.in/agridata/14data/chapter1/db2014tb1_19.pdf
- Iyer, P. V. (2013). "Power tariff in Maharashtra will remain higher than other states." Indian Express. Retrieved June 9, 2015, from <http://indianexpress.com/article/cities/mumbai/power-tariff-in-maharashtra-will-remain-higher-than-other-states/>
- Jin, S., Yu, W., Jansen, H. G. P., & Lansing, E. (2012). The impact of Irrigation on Agricultural Productivity : Evidence from India, 18–24.
- Joshi, V., & Acharya, A. (2005). Addressing Agricultural Power Subsidy: A Case Study of North Gujarat. Working Papers. Retrieved from <http://ideas.repec.org/p/ess/wpaper/id2533.html>
- Kannan, E. (2013). Do Farmers Need Free Electricity? Implications for Groundwater Use in South India. *Journal of Social and Economic Development*, 13. Retrieved from https://www.academia.edu/7710263/Do_Farmers_Need_Free_Electricity_Implications_for_Groundwater_Use_in_South_India
- Kulkarni, D. (2015). Maharashtra government plans to give 5 lakh solar pumps to farmers. *dna Analysis*. Retrieved June 9, 2015, from <http://www.dnaindia.com/mumbai/report-maharashtra-government-plans-to-give-5-lakh-solar-pumps-to-farmers-2049952>
- Mcneil, M., & Sathaye, J. (2009). India Energy Outlook : End Use Demand in India to 2020. *Energy*, (January), 1–62. Retrieved from http://ies.lbl.gov/iespubs/india_energy_outlook.pdf
- MNRE. (2014). Installation of Solar Water Pumps. Retrieved from <http://pib.nic.in/newsite/erelease.aspx?relid=107379>
- Murthy, K. V. S. R., & Raju, M. R. (2009). Analysis on Electrical Energy Consumption of Agricultural Sector in Indian Context. *ARNP Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 4(2), 6–9. Retrieved from http://www.arnpjournals.com/jeas/research_papers/rp_2009/jeas_0409_165.pdf
- Planning Commission. (2014). Annual Report (2013-14) on The Working of State Power Utilities & Electricity Departments.
- Pullenkav, T. (GIZ). (2013). Solar Water Pumping for Irrigation - Opportunities in Bihar.
- Raghavan, S. V, Bharadwaj, A., Thatte, A. a, Harish, S., Iychettira, K. K., Perumal, R., & Nayak, G. (2010). Harnessing Solar Energy: Options for India, 122.
- Saini, S. S. (2011). Mitigation Initiatives Through Agriculture DSM.
- Sharma, M., & Kaur, R. (2012). Agricultural Subsidies in India : Case Study of Electricity Subsidy in Punjab State : An Analysis. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 2(10), 1–7.
- Swain, A., & Charnoz, O. (2012). In Pursuit of Energy Efficiency in India's Agriculture: Fighting "Free Power" or Working with it?, (August).

Annexure 1

Table 4: Proposed Agriculture Tariff and expected savings on power subsidy in Category 1 states																
Category	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	Year 11	Year 12	Year 13	Year 14	Year 15
Unit cost of supply (INR/kWh)	6.0	6.3	6.6	6.9	7.3	7.7	8.0	8.4	8.9	9.3	9.8	10.3	10.8	11.3	11.9	12.5
Average agriculture tariff (as per current trends) (INR/kWh)	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Per unit subsidy to agriculture (INR/kWh)	5.7	6.0	6.3	6.6	6.9	7.3	7.7	8.0	8.5	8.9	9.3	9.8	10.3	10.8	11.4	11.9
Cost of power supply per agriculture connection (INR/year)	23,380	23,499	24,674	25,908	27,203	28,563	29,991	31,491	33,065	34,719	36,455	38,277	40,191	42,201	44,311	46,526
Revenue recovered from farmers per agriculture connection (INR/year)	1,119	1,164	1,210	1,259	1,309	1,361	1,416	1,473	1,531	1,593	1,656	1,723	1,792	1,863	1,938	2,015
Annual subsidy per agriculture connection (INR/year)	22,261	22,335	23,464	24,649	25,894	27,202	28,575	30,018	31,534	33,126	34,798	36,555	38,400	40,338	42,373	44,511

Source: CEEW Analysis

Annexure 2

Table 5: Proposed Agriculture Tariff and expected savings on power subsidy in Category 2 states

Category	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	Year 11	Year 12	Year 13	Year 14	Year 15
Unit cost of supply (INR/kWh)	6.0	6.3	6.6	6.9	7.3	7.7	8.0	8.4	8.9	9.3	9.8	10.3	10.8	11.3	11.9	12.5
Average agriculture tariff (as per current trends) (INR/kWh)	2.0	2.2	2.3	2.5	2.7	2.9	3.2	3.4	3.7	4.0	4.3	4.7	5.0	5.4	5.9	6.3
Per unit subsidy to agriculture (INR/kWh)	4.0	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.9	5.0	5.2	5.3	5.5	5.6	5.7	5.9	6.0	6.1
Cost of power supply per agriculture connection (INR/year)	22,380	23,499	24,674	25,908	27,203	28,563	29,991	31,491	33,065	34,719	36,455	38,277	40,191	42,201	44,311	46,526
Revenue recovered from farmers per agriculture connection (INR/year)	7,460	8,057	8,701	9,397	10,149	10,961	11,838	12,785	13,808	14,913	16,106	17,394	18,786	20,288	21,911	23,664
Annual subsidy per agriculture connection (INR/year)	14,920	15,442	15,973	16,510	17,054	17,602	18,153	18,706	19,258	19,806	20,349	20,883	21,406	21,912	22,399	22,862

Source: CEEW Analysis











Annexure 3

Table 6: Proposed Agriculture Tariff and expected savings on power subsidy in Category 3 states																
Category	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	Year 11	Year 12	Year 13	Year 14	Year 15
Unit cost of supply (INR/kWh)	6.0	6.3	6.6	6.9	7.3	7.7	8.0	8.4	8.9	9.3	9.8	10.3	10.8	11.3	11.9	12.5
Average agriculture tariff (as per current trends) (INR/kWh)	4.0	4.4	4.8	5.3	5.9	6.4	7.1	7.8	8.6	9.3	9.8	10.3	10.8	11.3	11.9	12.5
Per unit subsidy to agriculture (INR/kWh)	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.0	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Cost of power supply per agriculture connection (INR/year)	23,380	23,499	24,674	25,908	27,203	28,563	29,991	31,491	33,065	34,719	36,455	38,277	40,191	42,201	44,311	46,526
Revenue recovered from farmers per agriculture connection (INR/year)	14,920	16,412	18,053	19,859	21,844	24,029	26,432	29,075	31,982	34,719	36,455	38,277	40,191	42,201	44,311	46,526
Annual subsidy per agriculture connection (INR/year)	8,460	7,087	6,621	6,049	5,359	4,534	3,560	2,416	1,083	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Source: CEEW Analysis</i>																

CEEW Publications

Books/Reports


- David King, Daniel Schrag, Zhou Dadi, Qi Ye, and Arunabha Ghosh (2015) 'Climate Change: A Risk Assessment', July 
- Vaibhav Chaturvedi, Mohit Sharma, Shourjomoy Chattopadhyay, and Pallav Purohit (2015) 'India's Long Term Hydrofluorocarbon Emissions: A detailed cross sectoral analysis within an integrated assessment modelling framework', CEEW-IIASA Report, May 
- Abhishek Jain, Poulami Choudhury, and Karthik Ganesan (2015) 'Clean, Affordable and Sustainable Cooking Energy for India: Possibilities and Realities beyond LPG', February  
- P.R. Shukla, Amit Garg, and Hem H. Dholakia (2015) 'Energy-Emissions: Trends and Policy Landscape in India'. New Delhi: Allied Publishers 
- Abhishek Jain, Shalu Agrawal, and Karthik Ganesan (2014) 'Improving Effectiveness of Domestic LPG Subsidy and Distribution in India: Rationalising Subsidies, Reaching the Underserved', November  
- Vaibhav Chaturvedi, Vaibhav Gupta, Nirmalya Choudhury, Sonali Mitra, Arunabha Ghosh, and Rudresh Sugam (2014) 'State of Environmental Clearances in India: Procedures, Timelines and Delays across Sectors and States', October 
- Arunabha Ghosh, Rajeev Palakshappa, Rishabh Jain, Shalu Aggarwal, and Poulami Choudhury (2014) 'Solar Power Jobs: Exploring the Employment Potential in India's Grid-Connected Solar Market', CEEW-NRDC Report, August 
- Arunabha Ghosh, Rajeev Palakshappa, Poulami Choudhury, Rishabh Jain, and Shalu Aggarwal (2014) 'Reenergizing India's Solar Energy Market through Financing', CEEW-NRDC Report, August 
- Sonali Mitra, Rudresh Sugam, Arunabha Ghosh (2014) Collective Action for Water Security and Sustainability: Preliminary Investigations, CEEW-2030 WRG Report, August 
- Poulami Choudhury, Rajeev Palakshappa, and Arunabha Ghosh (2014) RE+: Renewables Beyond Electricity- Solar Air Conditioning and Desalination, CEEW-WWF Report, August 
- Karthik Ganesan, Poulami Choudhury, Rajeev Palakshappa, Rishabh Jain, and Sanyukta Raje (2014) Assessing Green Industrial Policy: The India Experience, CEEW-IISD Report, April 
- Vaibhav Gupta, Karthik Ganesan, Sanyukta Raje, Faraz Ahmed, and Arunabha Ghosh (2013) Strategic Industries and Emerging Technologies for a Future Ready India, Report submitted to India's National Security Advisory Board, Prime Minister's Office, December 
- Rishabh Jain, Poulami Choudhury, Rajeev Palakshappa, and Arunabha Ghosh (2013) RE+: Renewables Beyond Electricity, CEEW-WWF Report, December 
- Rudresh Sugam and Arunabha Ghosh (2013) Urban Water and Sanitation in India: Multi-stakeholder Dialogues for Systemic Solutions, CEEW-Veolia Report, November, pp. i-147 

- Rajeev Palakshappa, Arunabha Ghosh, Poulami Choudhury, and Rishabh Jain (2013) Developing Effective Networks for Energy Access- An Analysis, CEEW-USAID Report, October 
- Nirmalya Choudhury, Rudresh Sugam and Arunabha Ghosh (2013) 2030 Water Resources Group National Water Platform: Preliminary Investigation of the Possible Roles, Functions and Potential Governance, New Delhi Council on Energy Environment and Water-Water Resources Group Report, September, pp. i-25 
- Arunabha Ghosh et al. (2012) Concentrated Solar Power: Heating Up India's Solar Thermal Market under the National Solar Mission, Report (Addendum to Laying the Foundation for a Bright Future: Assessing Progress under Phase I of India's National Solar Mission), September, New Delhi, Council on Energy, Environment and Water; and Natural Resources Defense Council 
- Arunabha Ghosh, with Himani Gangania (2012) Governing Clean Energy Subsidies: What, Why and How Legal?, August, Geneva: International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development 
- Rudresh K. Sugam, and Arunabha Ghosh (2012) Institutional Reform for Improved Service Delivery in Bihar: Economic Growth, Agricultural Productivity, and a Plan for Reorganising the Minor Water Resources Department, Research Report submitted to the Government of Bihar, July, New Delhi: Council on Energy, Environment and Water, and International Growth Centre, Patna 
- Council on Energy, Environment and Water; and Natural Resources Defense Council (2012) Laying the Foundation for a Bright Future: Assessing Progress Under Phase 1 of India's National Solar Mission, Interim Report, April, pp. i-37 
- Arunabha Ghosh, Arundhati Ghose, Suman Bery, C. Uday Bhaskar, Tarun Das, Nitin Desai, Anwarul Hoda, Kiran Karnik, Srinivasapuram Krishnaswamy, Radha Kumar, Shyam Saran (2011) Understanding Complexity, Anticipating Change: From Interests to Strategy on Global Governance, Report of the Working Group on India and Global Governance, December, pp. i-70 
- Martin A. Burton, Rahul Sen, Simon Gordon-Walker, and Arunabha Ghosh (2011) National Water Resources Framework Study: Roadmaps for Reforms, October, New Delhi: Council on Energy, Environment and Water, and 2030 Water Resources Group, pp i-68 
- Martin A. Burton, Rahul Sen, Simon Gordon-Walker, Anand Jalakam, and Arunabha Ghosh (2011) National Water Resources Framework Study: Research Report Submitted to the Planning Commission for the 12th Five Year Plan, September, New Delhi: Council on Energy, Environment and Water, and 2030 Water Resources Group, pp. i-584 
- Arunabha Ghosh (2010) Harnessing the Power Shift: Governance Options for International Climate Financing, Oxfam Research Report, October, pp. 1-90 


















Papers/Book Chapters

- Abhishek Jain and Paul Kattuman (2015) 'Decision-Making and Planning Framework to Improve the Deployment Success of Decentralized Rural Electrification in India' in Sustainable Access to Energy in the Global South, edited by Silvia Hostettler, Ashok Gadgil and Eileen Hazboun. Geneva: Springer International Publishing Switzerland 
- Arunabha Ghosh (2015) 'The big push for renewable energy in India: What will drive it?' Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists, 71(4), July, 31-42 
- Minal Pathak, P. R. Shukla, Amit Garg, and Hem Dholakia (2015) 'Integrating Climate Change in City Planning: Framework and Case Studies' in Cities and Sustainability: Issues and Strategic Pathways, edited by S. Mahendra Dev, Sudhakar Yedla. New Delhi: Springer India 
- Hem H. Dholakia, Vimal Mishra, and Amit Garg (2015) 'Predicted Increases in Heat related Mortality under Climate Change in Urban India', CEEW-IIT GN- IIM A Working Paper 2015-05-02, June 
- Vaibhav Chaturvedi and Mohit Sharma (2015): Modelling long-term HFC emissions from India's residential air-conditioning sector: exploring implications of alternative refrigerants, best practices, and a sustainable lifestyle within an integrated assessment modelling framework, Climate Policy, DOI: 10.1080/14693062.2015.1052954 
- Arunabha Ghosh and Karthik Ganesan (2015) Rethink India's energy strategy, Nature 521, 156–157 (14 May) doi:10.1038/521156a 
- Vaibhav Chaturvedi (2015) 'The Costs of Climate Change Impacts for India', CEEW Working Paper 2015/11, March 
- Vaibhav Chaturvedi and Son H. Kim (2015) 'Long term energy and emission implications of a global shift to electricity-based public rail transportation system', Energy Policy 81, 176-185 
- Herath Gunatilake, Karthik Ganesan, and Eleanor Bacani (2014) 'Valuation of Health Impacts of Air Pollution from Power Plants in Asia: A Practical Guide', ADB South Asia Working Paper Series, October 
- David Steven and Arunabha Ghosh (2014) 'Materials, Markets, Multilateralism: A Strategic Approach to India's Resource Challenges' in The New Politics of Strategic Resources: Energy and Food Security Challenges in the 21st Century, edited by David Steven, Emily O'Brien, Bruce James. Washington: Brookings Institution Press 
- Vaibhav Chaturvedi and Mohit Sharma (2014) 'Modelling Long Term HFC Emissions from India's Residential Air-Conditioning Sector', CEEW Working Paper 2014/7, July 
- Karthik Ganesan and Rajeev Vishnu (2014) 'Energy Access in India-Today, and Tomorrow', CEEW Working Paper 2014/10, June 
- Vaibhav Chaturvedi and Son H Kim (2014) 'Long Term Energy and Emission Implications of Global Shift to Electricity-Based Public Rail Transit System', CEEW Working Paper 2014/9, May 

- Vaibhav Chaturvedi, Priyadarshi R Shukla, and Karthik Ganesan (2014) 'Implications of Risk Perceptions for Long Term Future of Nuclear Energy in India: A Sensitivity Analysis around Nuclear Energy Cost within an Integrated Assessment Modelling Framework', CEEW Working Paper 2014/6, April 
- Arunabha Ghosh (2014) 'Environmental Institutions, International Research Programmes, and Lessons for Geoengineering Research', Geoengineering Our Climate Working Paper, February 
- Nirmalya Choudhury and Arunabha Ghosh (2013) 'Responsible Hydropower Development in India: Challenges for future', CEEW Working Paper 2013/5, December 
- Rishabh Jain, Karthik Ganesan, Rajeev Palakshappa and Arunabha Ghosh (2013) 'Energy Storage for Off-Grid Renewables in India: Understanding Options and Challenges for Entrepreneurs', CEEW Report, July 
- Arunabha Ghosh, and David Steven (2013) 'India's Energy, Food, and Water Security: International Cooperation for Domestic Capacity', in Shaping the Emerging World: India and the Multilateral Order, edited by Waheguru Pal Singh Sidhu, Pratap Bhanu Mehta, and Bruce Jones, Washington, D.C.: Brookings Press 
- Rajeev Palakshappa et al. (2013) 'Cooling India with Less Warming: The Business Case for Phasing-Down HFC's in Room and Vehicle Air Conditioners,' Council on Energy, Environment and Water; Natural Resources Defense Council; The Energy and Resources Institute; and The Institute for Governance and Sustainable Development, June 
- Arunabha Ghosh (2013) 'Energy-Food-Water-Climate Nexus: Implications for India's National Security,' Paper submitted to India's National Security Advisory Board, Prime Minister's Office, March 
- Vyoma Jha and Rishabh Jain (2012) 'Results-Based Financing for Off-grid Energy Access in India,' Case-study on the Economics of Results-Based Financing in Study by Vivideconomics for Energy Sector Management Assistance Program (ESMAP), World Bank, Washington DC, November  
- Arunabha Ghosh (2012) 'Industrial demand and energy supply management: A delicate balance,' Empowering growth - Perspectives on India's energy future, A report from the Economist Intelligence Unit: 26-32, October 
- Arunabha Ghosh, Benito Müller, William Pizer, and Gernot Wagner (2012) 'Mobilizing the Private Sector: Quantity-Performance Instruments for Public Climate Funds,' Oxford Energy and Environment Brief, The Oxford Institute for Energy Studies, August, pp. 1-15 
- Sachin Shah (2012) 'Institutional Reform for Water Use Efficiency in Agriculture: International Best Practices and Policy Lessons for India,' CEEW Working Paper 2012/3, April 
- Arunabha Ghosh (2011) 'Seeking Coherence In Complexity: The Governance Of Energy By Trade And Investment Institutions,' Global Policy 2 (Special Issue): 106-119 













- Jason Blackstock, and Arunabha Ghosh (2011) 'Does geoengineering need a global response - and of what kind?,' Background Paper, Solar Radiation Management Governance Initiative, Royal Society UK, Chicheley, March 





Policy Briefs & Legislative/Government Briefings

- Vaibhav Chaturvedi and Mohit Sharma (2015) 'China's role in Global HFC emissions matters for phase-down proposals' CEEW Policy Brief, August 
- Aditya Ramji (2015) 'Greening the tracks: Achieving the 1 gigawatt solar PV target of the Indian Railways' CEEW Policy Brief, June 
- Hem H Dholakia and Abhishek Jain (2015) 'Lead Acid Battery Recycling in India: Challenges and Next Steps' CEEW Issue Brief, April 
- Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC); Council on Energy, Environment and Water (CEEW); Institute for Governance and Sustainable Development (IGSD); and Stephen Seidel (2015) 'India Proposes HFC Phase-Down Amendment Proposal to the Montreal Protocol', Fact Sheet, April 
- Shannon Dilley, Arunabha Ghosh, Anjali Jaiswal, Vaibhav Chaturvedi, and Bhaskar Deol (2015) 'Reducing Stress on India's Energy Grid: The Power Sector Benefits of Transitioning to Lower Global Warming Potential and Energy Efficient Refrigerants in Room Air Conditioners' CEEW NRDC Interim Issue Brief, March 
- Sudatta Ray, Vaibhav Chaturvedi, Karthik Ganesan, and Arunabha Ghosh (2015) 'India's Intended Nationally Determined Contributions: Renewable Energy and the Pathway to Paris', CEEW Policy Brief, February   
- Karthik Ganesan, Abhishek Jain, Sudatta Ray, Mohit Sharma, and Arunabha Ghosh (2014) 'Agenda for a Reformed Power Sector in India: Risk, Resource, Relay, and Restructuring' CEEW Policy Brief, December 
- Poulami Choudhury, Shalu Agrawal, Kanika Chawla, Rajeev Palakshappa, Karthik Ganesan, and Arunabha Ghosh (2014) 'Tapping Every Ray of the Sun: A Roadmap for a Significant Role of Solar in India' CEEW Policy Brief, October 
- Rudresh Kumar Sugam, Sonali Mittra, and Arunabha Ghosh (2014) 'Swachh Bharat: Kachra Mukta, Shouchalaya Yukt Bharat' CEEW Policy Brief, October  
- Arunabha Ghosh (2014) 'Making the UN Secretary General's Climate Summit Count', Issue Brief, September 
- Council on Energy, Environment and Water (2014) 'Shaping a Prosperous and Sustainable India: Action Plan for Energy, Environment and Water', Policy Report, September   
- Council on Energy, Environment and Water and Natural Resources Defense Council (2014) 'Creating Green Jobs: Employment Created by Kiran Energy's 20 Megawatt Solar Plant in Rajasthan, India' Issue Paper, August 











- Arunabha Ghosh, Rajeev Palakshappa, Rishabh Jain, Shalu Agarwal (2014) 'Making Use of the Roof: Employment Generation from Hero MotoCorp's 80 kW Rooftop Solar Project in Haryana India' CEEW-NRDC Issue Paper, August 
- Rajeev Palakshappa, Poulami Choudhury, and Arunabha Ghosh (2014) 'Creating Green Jobs: Employment Generation by Gamesa-Renew Power's 85 Megawatt Wind Project in Jath, Maharashtra' CEEW-NRDC Issue Paper, August 
- Arunabha Ghosh, Rajeev Palakshappa, Poulami Choudhury, and Rishabh Jain (2014) 'A Second Wind for India's Energy Market: Financing Mechanisms to Support India's National Wind Energy Mission' CEEW-NRDC Issue Paper, August 
- Arunabha Ghosh (2014) "High Value, Technology-Enabled Manufacturing" Briefing note for the India-U.S. Strategic Dialogue. New Delhi. 18 July 
- Arunabha Ghosh (2014) "India-U.S. Partnership on Energy Storage (R&D, Enterprise and Deployment)" Briefing note for the India-U.S. Strategic Dialogue. New Delhi. 16 July 
- Arunabha Ghosh (2014) "Clean Energy Access Network (CLEAN) and Supporting Decentralised Clean Energy" Briefing note for the India-U.S. Strategic Dialogue. New Delhi. 13 July 
- Vaibhav Gupta and Karthik Ganesan (2014) 'India's Critical Mineral Resources: A Trade and Economic Analysis', CEEW Policy Brief, July 
- Arunabha Ghosh and Susan G. Esserman (2014) 'India-U.S. Cooperation on Renewable Energy and Trade,' Briefing paper for the India-U.S. Track II Dialogue on Climate Change and Energy. Washington D.C. 12 February 
- Arunabha Ghosh and Karthik Ganesan (2014) 'National Wind Mission,' Briefing to MNRE Secretary, New Delhi, 4 February 
- Arunabha Ghosh (2013) 'Strategic Industries and Emerging Technologies for a Future Ready India,' Briefing to India's National Security Adviser, Prime Minister's Office, New Delhi, 18 October; to National Security Advisory Board, Mumbai, 3 December; and to India's Planning Commission, New Delhi, 10 December 
- Arunabha Ghosh (2013) 'Business Case for HFC Phase Down in India,' Briefing to Prime Minister's Office, New Delhi, 22 November 
- Arunabha Ghosh, Rudresh Sugam, Nirmalya Choudhury (2013) 'Integrated Energy, Environment and Water Plan for Jharkhand: Preliminary Investigations and Propositions,' Briefing to the Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi, 18 September 
- Nirmalya Choudhury (2013) 'Knowledge Hub under National Water Mission – Governance Issues', Briefing to the Ministry of Water Resources, Government of India, on the proceedings of the Working Group on Governance of the Knowledge Hub under the National Water Mission (a flagship mission of the Government of India under the National Action Plan on Climate Change), New Delhi, 26 August 
- Nirmalya Choudhury (2013) 'Governance Issues towards Creating a Knowledge Hub under the National Water Mission,' Briefing for a multi-stakeholder roundtable discussion on 

creating a Knowledge Hub under the National Water Mission (a flagship mission of the Government of India under the National Action Plan on Climate Change), New Delhi, 14 August

- Arunabha Ghosh (2013) ‘National Water Platform: Some Thoughts for Brainstorming Meeting,’ Briefing to the Ministry of Water Resources, Government of India, on creating a Knowledge Hub under the National Water Mission (a flagship mission of the Government of India under the National Action Plan on Climate Change), New Delhi, 5 August 
- Rudresh Sugam and Urvashi Sharma (2013) “Capacity building in the urban water sector,” Issue brief for the Fifth CEEW-Veolia Water Roundtable on Urban Water Management, 5 July 
- Arunabha Ghosh, Stephen O. Andersen, Bhaskar Deol, and David Doniger (2013) ‘The Business Case for Avoiding & Replacing High-Global Warming Potential HFC Refrigerants While Phasing Out HCFC Refrigerants,’ Briefing at the Montreal Protocol Open-Ended Working Group. Bangkok, 26 June 
- Rudresh Sugam and Urvashi Sharma (2013) “Water data and measurement,” Issue brief for the Fourth CEEW-Veolia Water Roundtable on Urban Water Management, 27 May 
- Rudresh Sugam and Urvashi Sharma (2013) “Regulatory framework for urban water management in India,” Issue brief for the Third CEEW-Veolia Water Roundtable on Urban Water Management, 9 April 
- Rudresh Sugam and Urvashi Sharma (2013) “Private sector participation in water management and water for all,” Issue brief for the Second CEEW-Veolia Water Round table on Urban Water Management, 11 February 
- Arunabha Ghosh (2013) ‘Renewable Energies and Trade: Addressing tensions and challenges,’ Briefing to a high-level policy dialogue at the World Trade Organization meeting of Ambassadors, Geneva, 21 January 
- Rudresh Sugam (2012) “Water Utility Management in the Urban Water Sector,” Issue brief for the First CEEW-Veolia Water Roundtable on Urban Water Management, New Delhi, 20 December 
- Karthik Ganesan (2012) “Climate Change and Business Leadership: Pathways to GHG Emissions Reduction and Sustainability in the Indian Cement Industry,” Paper presented at the Third National ICRN Conference on Climate Change, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, 4 November 
- Vyoma Jha (2012) “Trends in Investor Claims over Feed-in Tariffs for Renewable Energy,” Investment Treaty News, July 
- Arunabha Ghosh (2012) “Water governance priorities in India, South and East Asia, the case for integrated energy, environment and water plans, and Rio+20 goals,” Briefing to the Brazilian Federal Senate, Environment, Consumer Rights and Oversight Committee & Agriculture and Land Reform Committee, Rio de Janeiro, 20 June  







- Arunabha Ghosh (2011) “Briefing on global governance to Ambassador Shivshankar Menon, National Security Adviser, Government of India,” Prime Minister’s Office, 20 December 
- Arunabha Ghosh (2011) “Governing clean energy subsidies: Why legal and policy clarity is needed,” Bridges Trade BioRes, November 
- Vyoma Jha (2011) “Cutting Both Ways?: Climate, Trade and the Consistency of India's Domestic Policies,” CEEW Policy Brief, August 
- Arunabha Ghosh (2010) “Negotiating around Tradeoffs: Alternative Institutional Designs for Climate Finance,” European Climate Platform Report No. 10, Centre for European Policy Studies, Brussels, 9 December 

Op-eds/Conference Papers/Other publications



- Arunabha Ghosh (2015) 'Clean energy isn't a choice anymore' India Today, 24 August. Available at <http://ceew.in/pdf/AG-Clean-Energy-Isnt-a-Choice-Anymore-India-Today-20Aug15.pdf> 
- Arunabha Ghosh and Shalu Agrawal (2015) 'Sustainable solar irrigation' Business Standard, 18 August. Available at <http://bit.ly/1LkSZkq> 
- Vaibhav Chaturvedi and Mohit Sharma (2015) ‘India’s HFC phase-out proposal: what does it mean for the climate’ India Climate Dialogue, 29 July. Available at <http://indiaclimatedialogue.net/2015/07/29/indias-hfc-phase-out-proposal-what-does-it-mean-for-the-climate/> 
- Arunabha Ghosh and Sir David King (2015) 'Climate risks - the worst cases matter' Business Standard, 21 July. Available at http://www.business-standard.com/article/opinion/arunabha-ghosh-david-king-climate-risks-the-worst-cases-matter-115072001245_1.html 
- Kanika Chawla and Shalu Agrawal (2015) ‘Solar Power: An Impetus to Make in India’ The Hindu, 20 July. Available at <http://www.thehindu.com/business/on-solar-power-in-india-and-make-in-india/article7440738.ece> 
- Arunabha Ghosh (2015) 'Solar flares burn some' Business Standard, 16 June. Available at <http://ceew.in/pdf/ag-solar-flares-burn-some-bs-column-16-jun-15.jpg> 
- Hem Himanshu Dholakia (2015) ‘Cutting the Flab From Delhi’s Polluted Air’ Fairboserver.com, 21 May. Available at http://www.fairobserver.com/region/central_south_asia/cutting-the-flab-from-delhis-polluted-air-90124/ 
- Arunabha Ghosh (2015) 'Shifts and risks in energy' Business Standard, 19 May. Available at <http://ceew.in/pdf/ag-shifts-and-risks-in-energy-bs-column-19-may15.pdf>  
- Arunabha Ghosh and Karthik Ganesan (2015) Rethink India's energy strategy, Nature 521, 156–157 (14 May) doi:10.1038/521156a 

- Arunabha Ghosh (2015) 'Build capacity for energy diplomacy' Business Standard, 21 April. Available at <http://ceew.in/pdf/ag-build-capacity-for-energy-diplomacy-21-apr-15.pdf>
- Sudatta Ray (2015) 'Electricity trading in its infancy in South Asia' India Climate Dialogue', 7 April. Available at <http://indiaclimatedialogue.net/2015/04/07/electricity-trading-in-its-infancy-in-south-asia/>
- Arunabha Ghosh, Shalu Agrawal and Kanika Chawla (2015) 'RE Vision Laid, Delivery Next' Energy Next, March. Available at <http://ceew.in/pdf/ceew-article-on-re-budget-analysis-for-energy-n.pdf>
- Arunabha Ghosh and Hem Himanshu Dholakia (2015) 'Competitive pollutionism no good' Business Standard, 17 March. Available at http://www.business-standard.com/article/opinion/aranabha-ghosh-hem-himanshu-dholakia-competitive-pollutionism-no-good-115031601230_1.html
- Vaibhav Chaturvedi, Karthik Ganesan, and Hem Dholakia (2015) 'Cohesive Natural Resource Management Needed for Sustained' The Sunday Guardian, 1 March. Available at <http://www.sunday-guardian.com/extra/cohesive-natural-resource-management-needed-for-sustained-growth>
- Arunabha Ghosh (2015) 'Speed, scale, skill...solar?' Business Standard, 17 February. Available at http://www.business-standard.com/article/opinion/aranabha-ghosh-speed-scale-skill-solar-115021601820_1.html
- Council on Energy, Environment and Water (2015) 'Negotiating the Climate Cliff: India's Climate Policy and Intended Nationally Determined Contributions', Conference Report, February
- Arunabha Ghosh (2015) 'India US Strategic Economic Compass' Business Standard, 20 January. Available at <http://ceew.in/pdf/ag-india-us-strategic-economic-compass-bs-column-21jan15.pdf>
- Arunabha Ghosh and Abhishek Jain (2014) 'A Rs 12,000-crore year-end gift' Business Standard, 23 December. Available at <http://ceew.in/pdf/ceew-a-rs-12000-crore-year-end-gift-bs-column-23dec14.pdf>
- Arunabha Ghosh (2014) 'Breaking Through the Climate Chakravayuh' Business Standard, 25 November. Available at <http://ceew.in/pdf/ceew-ag-bs-column-breaking-through-the-climate-chakravayuh-25nov14.pdf>
- Council on Energy, Environment and Water; Institute for Governance and Sustainable Development; Natural Resources Defense Council; and The Energy and Resources Institute (2014) 'Frequently Asked Questions, Cooling India with Less Warming: The Business Case for Phasing Down HFCs', Fact Sheet, November
- Council on Energy, Environment and Water and Natural Resources Defense Council (2014) 'Efficient Air Conditioning for the Next Decade: A Profile of Energy-Efficient Room Air Conditioners That Use HFC-32' Company Profile, November












- Council on Energy, Environment and Water and Natural Resources Defense Council (2014) 'Air Conditioners with Hydrocarbon Refrigerant - Saving Energy while Saving Money: A Profile of Energy-Efficient Propane (HC-290) Based Room Air Conditioners by Godrej & Boyce' Company Profile, November 
- Arunabha Ghosh (2014) 'Clearing the Air on Clearances' Business Standard, 28 October. Available at <http://ceew.in/pdf/AG-BS-Column-Clearing-the-Air-on-Clearances-28Oct14.pdf> 
- Suresh P Prabhu (2014) 'Rethink on Land Use' The Economic Times, 22 July. Available at <http://ceew.in/pdf/SP-Ground-Beneath-our-Feet-ET-Article-24Jul14.pdf> 
- Suresh P Prabhu (2014) 'Ganga Rakshak Dal Banane Ki Zaroorat' Dainik Jagran, 3 July. Available at <http://ceew.in/pdf/CEEW-SP-Article-in-Dainik-Jagran14Jul14.pdf> 
- Rishabh Jain, Karthik Ganesan, and Vaibhav Gupta (2014) 'India's Coal Conundrum: Spurring Growth vs. Energy Security vs. Environmental Sustainability', CEEW Factsheet, June 
- Vaibhav Gupta, Karthik Ganesan, and Rishabh Jain (2014) 'Natural Gas as a Pillar of Growth: Domestic Production and Import Vulnerabilities', CEEW Fact Sheet, June 
- Arunabha Ghosh (2014) 'Three Mantras for India's Resource Security' Seminar Magazine, June. Available at <http://ceew.in/pdf/AG-Three-Mantras-for-India-s-Resource-Security-Seminar-658-Jun14.pdf> 
- Council on Energy, Environment and Water; and InSIS (2014) Climate Geoengineering Governance, Conference Report, June 
- Suresh P Prabhu (2014) 'Handling the Energy Crisis' The Hindu, 18 April. Available at <http://ceew.in/pdf/CEEW-Handling-the-energy-crisis-SP-Article-in-The-Hindu-18Apr14.pdf> 
- Suresh P. Prabhu (2014) 'Idea 5: Let There Be Light, Always' Open Magazine, 22 March. Available at http://ceew.in/pdf/Idea%205%20_%20OPEN%20Magazine.pdf  
- Suresh P. Prabhu (2014) 'India's Green Growth needs Policy Push' Energy Next, 8 February. Available at http://ceew.in/pdf/Indias_Green_Growth_Needs_Policy_Push_Suresh_Prabhu.pdf 
- Suresh P. Prabhu (2013) 'Strengthening the regulatory network' The Hindu, 3 December. Available at <http://www.thehindu.com/opinion/op-ed/strengthening-the-regulatory-network/article5415035.ece> 
- Suresh P. Prabhu (2013) 'Strengthening the regulatory network' The Gulf Today, 5 December. Available at <http://ceew.in/pdf/SPP-Strengthening-the-regulatory-network-The-Gulf-Today-5Dec13.pdf> 
- Jake Schmidt, Stephen O. Andersen, Arunabha Ghosh, et al (2013) 'Cooling India with Less Warming: The Business Case for Phasing Down HFCs,' Fact Sheet, November. 
- Arunabha Ghosh (2013) 'More Lethal Greenhouse Gas' The Times of India, 25 October. Available at <http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/home/opinion/edit-page/More-lethal-> 

greenhouse-gas/articleshow/24675848.cms

- Arunabha Ghosh (2013) 'Himalayan Ecosystems and Himalayan Cooperation: A Himalayan Effort Needed?' Arctic Circle Forum. Reykjavik. 13 October. 
- Suresh P Prabhu (2013) 'Gloom to Bloom to Doom' The Economic Times, 13 August. Available at <http://ceew.in/pdf/SPP-Gloom-to-bloom-to-doom-The-Economic-Times-3Aug13.pdf> 
- Suresh P Prabhu (2013) 'Reviving the Power of Electricity' The Financial Express, 22 April. Available at <http://epaper.financialexpress.com/108103/Indian-Express/22-April-2013#page/6/2> 
- Suresh P Prabhu (2013) 'Think of Water Before it Rains Again' The Financial Express, 19 April. Available at bit.ly/XWaALS 
- Suresh P. Prabhu (2013) 'Sharing the burden of going green' The Hindu, 17 May. Available at http://ceew.in/pdf/SPP-Sharing_the_burden_of_going_green-The-Hindu-17May2013.pdf 
- Jamshyd N Godrej (2013) 'Bring in smart policies, clear the air on clean energy' The Economic Times, 17 April. Available at <http://economictimes.indiatimes.com/opinion/comments-analysis/bring-in-smart-policies-clear-the-air-on-clean-energy/articleshow/19587149.cms> 
- Arunabha Ghosh and Ricardo Meléndez-Ortiz (2013) 'Want clean energy? Avoid trade disputes' Business Standard, 15 April. Available at http://www.business-standard.com/article/opinion/want-clean-energy-avoid-trade-disputes-113041500023_1.html 
- Arunabha Ghosh (2013) 'India's resource nexus: priorities for action' Mint, 10 April. Available at <http://www.livemint.com/Opinion/zAOvm6gwBKa6Bzr9DfSyxN/Indias-resource-nexus-priorities-for-action.html> 
- Arunabha Ghosh (2013) 'Private Sustainability Finance: Need for cash, role of institutions' NYU – UAE MOFA Workshop on Climate Finance and Institutions. Abu Dhabi. 22 April. 
- Sanyukta Raje and Vaibhav Gupta (2013) 'India-US Track II Dialogue on Climate Change and Energy: Enhancing Bilateral Cooperation between India and the US', Proceedings Report, 18-20 April. 
- Arunabha Ghosh and Anjali Jaiswal (2012) 'What's eclipsing India's solar sector' Business Standard, 11 August. Available at http://ceew.in/pdf/AG%20&%20AJ-Business_Standard_11Oct12.pdf 
- Arunabha Ghosh (2012) 'Make it profitable to save resources' India Today, 26 March. Available at http://ceew.in/pdf/AG-Make_it_profitable_to_save_resources-India_Today-26Mar12.pdf 
- Arunabha Ghosh (2012) 'Leave polemics out of the water policy' The Hindu, 19 March. Available at http://ceew.in/pdf/AG-Leave_polemics_out_of_the_water_policy-The_Hindu- 

19Mar12.pdf

- Arunabha Ghosh (2012) 'Innovation needs an ecosystem' Business Standard, 26 February. Available at http://ceew.in/pdf/AG-Innovation_Needs_an_Ecosystem-Business_Standard_26Feb12.pdf 
- Jamshyd N Godrej (2011) 'ET Awards' Agenda for Renewal 2011: Energy, the new poverty, says Jamshyd Godrej, Chairman & MD, Godrej & Boyce' The Economic Times, 24 November. Available at http://articles.economictimes.indiatimes.com/2011-11-24/news/30437448_1_clean-energy-energy-security-comprehensive-energy-plan  
- Jamshyd N Godrej (2011) 'Deregulation: Solving diesel conundrum' The Times of India, 28 January. Available at <http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/business/india-business/Deregulation-Solving-diesel-conundrum/articleshow/7375419.cms?referral=PM> 
- Arunabha Ghosh (2009) 'Climate for a win-win dialogue' The Financial Express, 22 December. Available at <http://www.financialexpress.com/news/column-climate-for-a-winwin-dialogue/557335/0>  
- Arunabha Ghosh (2009) 'Street lessons in climate governance' The Financial Express, 18 December. Available at <http://www.financialexpress.com/news/column-street-lessons-in-climate-governance/555484/0> 
- Arunabha Ghosh (2009) 'Red herrings in debates over climate finance' Opinio Juris, 15 December. Available at <http://opiniojuris.org/2009/12/15/red-herrings-in-debates-over-climate-finance/> 
- Arunabha Ghosh (2009) 'Even climate is about the money' The Financial Express, 7 December 
- Arunabha Ghosh (2009) 'Making Copenhagen count' the GEG blog, 7 December. 







Council on Energy, Environment and Water,
Thapar House, 124, Janpath, New Delhi 110001, India

Tel: +91 407 333 00 | Fax: +91 407 333 99

OUR WEB RESOURCES

- ceew.in/publications
- ceew.in/blog
- ceew.in/news
- ceew.in/events
- ceew.in/videos
- ceew.in/images
- ceew.in/annualreport

OUR SOCIAL MEDIA RESOURCES

-  CEEWIndia
-  @CEEWIndia
-  company/council-on-energy-environment-and-water
-  CEEWIndia